

Case 3:17-cv-01651-N-BN Document 11 Filed 07/26/18 Page 1 of 3 PageID 71

***United States Court of Appeals***  
FIFTH CIRCUIT  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

LYLE W. CAYCE  
CLERK

TEL. 504-310-7700  
600 S. MAESTRI PLACE  
NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130

July 25, 2018

Ms. Karen S. Mitchell  
Northern District of Texas, Dallas  
United States District Court  
1100 Commerce Street  
Earle Cabell Federal Building  
Room 1452  
Dallas, TX 75242

No. 18-10757 Timmy Dawson v. Lorie Davis, Director  
USDC No. 3:17-CV-1651

Dear Ms. Mitchell,

Enclosed is a copy of the judgment issued as the mandate.

Sincerely,

LYLE W. CAYCE, Clerk

*Cindy M. Broadhead*

By: Cindy M. Broadhead, Deputy Clerk  
504-310-7707

cc w/encl:

Mr. Timmy Antonio Dawson

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

---

No. 18-10757

---



A True Copy  
Certified order issued Jul 25, 2018

*Tyler W. Cayce*  
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit

TIMMY ANTONIO DAWSON,

Petitioner - Appellant

v.

LORIE DAVIS, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL  
JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION,

Respondent - Appellee

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Texas

---

Before REAVLEY, ELROD, and GRAVES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

This court must examine the basis of its jurisdiction, on its own motion if necessary. *Hill v. City of Seven Points*, 230 F.3d 167, 169 (5th Cir. 2000). In this 28 U.S.C. § 2254 case, the petitioner is attempting to appeal from a certified copy, which the district court placed on the docket as Dkt. No. 9, of this court's order denying petitioner's motion for leave to file a second or successive application for a writ of habeas corpus.

"Federal appellate courts have jurisdiction over appeals only from (1) a final decision under 28 U.S.C. § 1291; (2) a decision that is deemed final due to jurisprudential exception or that has been properly certified as final pursuant

Case 3:17-cv-01651-N-BN Document 11 Filed 07/26/18 Page 3 of 3 PageID 73

No. 18-10757

to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); and (3) interlocutory orders that fall into specific classes, 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a), or that have been properly certified for appeal by the district court, 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b).” *Askanase v. Livingwell, Inc.*, 981 F.2d 807, 809–10 (5th Cir. 1993). A copy of this court’s order that the district court placed on the docket is not a final or otherwise appealable order. Accordingly, we do not have jurisdiction over this appeal, and it is DISMISSED.